A-76 AIRCRAFT AND AVIATION COST COMPARISON																		
For use of this form, see AR 5-20; the proponent agency is ACSIM																		
		s	ECTION	I - [DIRECT OPER	ATIO	N CO	ST P	ER	FLIGHT	г но	UR (PFF	1)					
1. FUEL AND OTHER FLUIDS 2. CREW (PFH)							3. AIRCRAFT LEASE OR 4. LANDING AND TII								DOWN			
\$							RENTAL FEES (If applicable) \$											
						ENANCE LABOR @ \$ PER HOUR MULTIPLIED BY												
\$ MAN-HOU						K9 PFH												
b. MAINTENANCE PARTS \$	c. MAINTENANCE d. EN CONTRACTS								e. RESERVES f. TOTAL VARIABLE MAINTE COST (Add lines 5a-5e)						NTENANCE			
6. TOTAL DIRECT OPERATING			7. FLIG				8. TOTAL DIRECT OPERATING COST											
COST PER FLIGHT HOUR			_		(Line 6 x line 7)					Enzima dodi								
				-			ERATING ANNUAL COST											
9. CREW \$	10. FIX MAINTE \$	ICE		a. MAINTEN <i>i</i> LABOR \$	ANCE		MAI ARTS	_			c. MAINTENAN CONTRACTS \$			CE 11. AIRCRAFT LEASE \$				
12. DEPRECIATION				CE	a. HULL		b.	b. LIABILITY			С	C.		1. C	1. CASUALTY			
\$	\$			\$			\$	\$				ОТН	2. PI \$	2. PERSONNEL LIABILITY \$				
d. TOTAL SELF-INS		14. OV			15. COST				CAPITA	AL OR	FINAN	INANCE EXPENSE						
\$							\$											
16. TOTAL FIXED OPERATING ANNUAL COST (Add lines 9 thru 15)					ïΤ	17. TOTAL IN-HOUSE PERFORMANCE COST (Lines 8 and 6) \$												
		SEC	TION III	- C(ONTRACT AV	/IATION OPERATIONS COST WORKSHEET												
18. CONTRACT (PFH times number of hours)			19. CO TO MEE	ΓΙΟΝ	ION a. DAILY AVAILA GUARANTEE HOU					TY/STA	NDBY/		b. ADDITIONAL PILOT AND CREW CHARGES					
c. ADDITIONAL MAINTENANCE SUPPORT			d. AIRF EQUIPM									IONAL GROUND SUPPORT						
_			SERVICE EQUIPMENT EAGE			i. A	IRPO	RT FI	EES	j. (j. OTHER				20. CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION			
21. ONE-TIME CONVERSION	GAIN ON DISPOSAL/ INSFER OF ASSETS duct)				23. FEDERAL INCOME TAX Deduct) 24. TOTAL CONTRACT COST IN-HOUSE VERSU PERFORMANCE (add line) \$							JS CONTRACT						
SECTION IV - IN-HOUSE VERSUS CONTRACTOR OR ISSA PERFORMANCE							PERF			RMANC	IODS							
						ST a	_			3	3RD A		d d		TOTAL <i>e</i>			
25. IN-HOUSE PERFORMANCE COST (Data taken from line 17)				\$		\$			\$		\$			\$				
26. CONTRACT PERFORMANCE (Data take 24)				ken	from line	\$		\$			\$		\$			\$		
27. CONVERSION DIFFERENTIAL															\$			
28. ADJUSTED TOTAL COST OF IN-HOUSE PERFORMANCE															\$			
29. ADJUSTED TOTAL COST OF CONTRACT PERFORMANCE															\$			

CECTION IV. IN HOUSE VERSUS CONTRACTOR	PERFORMANCE PERIODS										
SECTION IV - IN-HOUSE VERSUS CONTRACTOR OR ISSA PERFORMANCE	1ST <i>a</i>	2N		3RD c	ADD'L d		TOTAL e				
29. ADJUSTED TOTAL COST OF CONTRACT PERFORMANCE							\$				
30. DECISION (Line 29 minus line 28)							\$				
31. COST COMPARISON DECISION: ACCOMPLISH WORK								•			
IN-HOUSE (+)							\$				
CONTRACT (-)							\$				
32a. IN-HOUSE MEO CERTIFIED BY				32b. DATE							
32c. OFFICE	I.										
I CERTIFY THAT, TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF, THE IN-HOUSE ORGANIZATION REFLECTED IN THE COST COMPARISON IS THE MOST EFFICIENT AND COST EFFECTIVE ORGANIZATION THAT IS FULLY CAPABLE OF PERFORMING THE SCOPE OF WORK AND TASKS OF THE PERFORMANCE WORK STATEMENT/PERFORMANCE REQUIREMENTS SUMMARY (PWS/PRS). I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT I HAVE OBTAINED FROM THE APPROPRIATE AUTHORITY CONCURRENCE THAT THE ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE, AS PROPOSED, CAN WILL BE FULLY IMPLEMENTED-SUBJECT TO THIS COST COMPARISON, IN ACCORDANCE WITH ALL APPLICABLE FEDERAL REGULATIONS.											
33a. IN-HOUSE COST ESTIMATE PREPARED BY	33b. DATE										
34a. INDEPENDENT REVIEWER	34b. DATE										
34c. OFFICE	34d. TITLE										
I CERTIFY THAT I HAVE REVIEWED THE PERFORMANCE OF ITEMS (PWS/PRS) MANAGEMENT PLAN, IN-HOUSE AND GSA/FA AVAILABLE PRIOR TO BID, OPENING AND, TO THE BEST ABILITY OF THE IN-HOUSE MEO TO PERFORM THE WORI IN THIS COST COMPARISON IS REASONABLY ESTABLISH PERFORMANCE COSTS ARE REASONABLE AND, (3) THAT PREPARED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PRINCIPLES AND	AMIS COST OF MY KN C CONTAIN HED, (2) TH T ALL COS	ESTIMATOWLEDGE NED IN THE HAT THE SENTER	ES AND AND PWS ELECTED ON	ND SUPPORTIN ABILITY HAVI G/PRS AT THE FION AND INC N THE COST C	IG DOO E DETEI ESTIMA LUSION OMPAF ITS SU	CUMENTATE RMINED TO ATED COST I OF CONTE RISON HAVE PPLEMENT	TION HAT TS IN TRAC /E BE	(1) THE ICLUDED T			
35a. COST COMPARISON COMPLETED BY						35b. DATE					
36a. CONTRACTING OFFICER	36b. DATE										
37a. TENTATIVE COST COMPARISON DECISION ANNOU	37b. DATE										
38a. APPEAL AUTHORITY (If applicable)					38b.	DATE					